

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,735	06/20/2003	Bruce Beakley	TRLG002-US0	9837
7:	590 01/10/2005		EXAMINER	
Patrick Stellitano			JONES, JUDSON	
2803 Inridge Dr. Austin, TX 78745			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		2834	
			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		- 1 a				
· Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/600,735	BEAKLEY, BRUCE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Judson H. Jones	2834			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	December 2004.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	,—					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 20 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
	The drawing(s) filed on 11/23/2004 is/are: a)		o by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	ıt(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date		ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Interpretation

In the response of 11/23/2004 applicant argues, "Thus, the magnets are mounted on a structure that must be bolted to part 22. The magnets are not disposed upon the bearing rail structure, as claimed in the present case." From the way applicant is using the word disposed, the word is interpreted to mean the magnets are placed directly on the bearing rail with no intermediate structural elements between the magnets and the rail.

Drawings

The replacement drawings filed on 11/23/2004 have been accepted by the examiner. The drawings still have minor errors as indicated by the draftsperson's correction form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant has recited a core element with windings to act as either the fixed or movable element of a linear motor but no other linear motor element is present in the claims. Then when applicant goes on to recite "positioning said core element," it is not clear what the core element is being positioned relative to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Application/Control Number: 10/600,735

Art Unit: 2834

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Katsuki et al. 6,724,104 B2 (of record). Katsuki et al. discloses a method for reducing cogging force comprising the steps of proving a core element with windings U, V, W wrapped around the core element and with the core element positioned to create a force acting in opposition to the cogging force as described in column 4 lines 43-48. In regard to the limitation of "positioning the core element to create a force in opposition to the cogging force," the core element is positioned over an array of magnets.

In the response of 11/23/2004, applicant states "Claims 17-19 are amended to recite that the core element has windings around it. In contrast, Katsuki shows an anti-cogging mechanism comprising a steel plate between coils of the linear motor assembly. (See Katsuki, Figure 4.)" The claim language does not say that the windings are the anti-cogging mechanism. If the claims were amended to recite that the anti-cogging means comprises the core element windings, the claims would be allowable, provided that the 112 rejection of these claims is overcome.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-17 are allowed.

Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not disclose or teach a linear motor having a unitary bearing rail structure with magnets disposed on the rail structure as recited in claims 1, 9 and 20. While claim

Application/Control Number: 10/600,735

Art Unit: 2834

9 is a method claim instead of being structural like claim 1, the method claimed is that of

providing the elements recited in claim 1. Therefore claim 9 is allowable for the same reasons

Page 4

that claim 1 is allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Judson H. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-2025. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JHJ 12/20/2004

DARREN SCHUBERG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800